

Seasonal distribution of nitrate, nitrite, ammonia and plankton in effluent discharge area off Mangalore, west coast of India

K Segar & V Hariharan

College of Fisheries, Mangalore 575 002, India

Received 28 March 1988; revised 30 May 1989

Distribution of $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$, $\text{NO}_2\text{-N}$, $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ and plankton has been studied at 9 stations situated along 4, 10 and 20 m depth off the point (Thannirbhavi, Mangalore) discharging nitrogenous effluents. Apparently there is no build up of any form of nitrogen studied during the present investigations. The stations at 4 m depth contour, particularly S_2 which is closest to the effluent discharge point show increased plankton production.

Seasonal distribution of various nutrients along the east and west coasts of India has been studied¹⁻³. Though considerable work on the nutrient distribution in waters along Karnataka coast has been carried out⁴⁻⁶, there is paucity of information on the influence of nutrients on plankton distribution along this coast. However, the general effect of industrial effluents on the biota off Mangalore has been studied⁷. This paper pertains to seasonal distribution of nitrate, nitrite and ammonia along with plankton in an area off Thannirbhavi, Mangalore where nitrogenous effluents are discharged.

Materials and Methods

Nine sampling stations (S_1 , S_2 and S_3 along section H_1 ; S_4 , S_5 and S_6 along section H_2 and S_7 , S_8 and S_9 along section H_3) in relation to the Netravathi-Gurpur estuarine mouth and the effluent discharge point were selected (Fig.1). The two rivers Netravathi and Gurpur drain their waters into the Arabian Sea 5 km south of the above effluent discharge point. Regular monthly sampling was done from March 1981 to February 1982 excluding the monsoon period (June to August, 1981). Water samples, collected from the surface using a clean plastic bucket and from the nearbottom layers by means of a Nansen reversing water bottle, were analysed in the laboratory for nitrate, nitrite and ammonia following standard colorimetric methods⁸, using a Klett Summerson photoelectric colorimeter. Horizontal and vertical hauls of plankton were made using an Heron Tranter net. The length of the tow was found using the speedometer of the boat⁹. The volume of water filtered was

calculated using standard methods¹⁰. Plankton samples fixed in 4% seawater-formalin were subjected later to quantitative analysis and the wet weights were determined and expressed in mg.m^{-3} .

Results and Discussion

Ammonia-nitrogen—Fig.2A represents the seasonal fluctuation of ammonia-nitrogen. The higher values recorded along section H_1 is perhaps due to its proximity to the point of discharge of effluents and to biodegradation of urea.

The subsurface variations closely followed those in the surface. Higher concentrations in the subsurface water particularly during September could be partly due to death and subsequent de-




Fig.1—Location of stations




Fig. 2—Seasonal fluctuation of ammonia (A), nitrite (B) and nitrate (C)

composition of phytoplankton which is reported to be abundant during the monsoon season along the west coast of India^{11,12} and partly due to terrigenous input during this season.

The irregular monthly fluctuation of ammonia concentrations at the nearshore stations along section H_1 , which is in contrast to that along sections H_2 and H_3 , could be related to the proximity of the effluent discharge point and the variations of rate of discharge (av. $7200 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$) of the effluents. Further, the pattern of circulation in this area might also be a factor as reported by earlier workers¹³.

Phytoplankton production appears to influence the ammonia concentrations (Figs 2A and 3A). Thus the peak concentration of ammonia during November could be related to decomposition of plankton which was abundant during October. The less abundant phytoplankton during November (Fig. 3A) and the resultant non-utilization of ammonia could have also contributed to the higher concentrations of ammonia. However, the high

concentration of ammonia at st S_2 (located near the effluent discharge point) may be due to oxidation of ammonia which is reported to be slow in relatively polluted waters¹⁴. The peak concentrations of ammonia during April and September in the deeper stations (section H_3) coincided with the high zooplankton production and this could be related to the excretion by planktonic organisms (Fig. 3B).

The annual range of concentration of ammonia in this area¹³ (0.543 to $24.12 \mu\text{g-at N.l}^{-1}$) is more or less similar to the range observed during the present study (traces to $27.19 \mu\text{g-at N.l}^{-1}$). This shows that there is practically no build up of total ammonia. The gradual decrease of ammonia concentration in the offshore stations (section H_3) and the corresponding increase in nitrate concentration may be due to oxidation of ammonia to more stable forms of nitrogen.

Nitrite-nitrogen—High concentrations of nitrite-nitrogen are evident during October along section H_1 , during October and November along section




Fig. 3—Seasonal abundance of phytoplankton (A) and zooplankton (B)

H₂ and during October, November and January along section H₃ (Fig.2B). The pattern of variations remained more or less similar at all sections. However, there was a gradual increase in the concentration of nitrite from section H₁ to H₃ and this may be attributed to the bacterial decomposition of planktonic detritus.

Variation in the concentration ($\mu\text{g-at N.l}^{-1}$) of nitrite in the present study (traces to 1.56 in the surface waters) was slightly less than that reported earlier^{13,15} (traces to 3.8 and 0.1 to 5.9 respectively) in the same area. These variations are thought to be related to variations in the quantum of effluent discharged. The differences in seasonal variation may be contributed by the variation in the phytoplankton excretion, oxidation of ammonia and reduction of nitrate of which the latter is reported to be dominant¹⁶.

High values of nitrite recorded at all stations during October may be the consequence of higher values of ammonia recorded during September. Similarly the isolated peak values of nitrite recorded during December may be due to the high concentration of ammonia during November. The peak values of nitrite during January at H₁ are

believed to be due to the effluent discharge coupled with phytoplankton abundance during December (Fig.3A).

The higher subsurface nitrite concentration at almost all stations appeared to be due to the death and decay of plankton and due to the nitrifying bacteria^{17,18}. However, increase of nitrite in the subsurface layers from section H₁ to H₃ could be due to the increased bacterial activity which is expected in a silty-clayey substratum compared to the sandy substrate near the coast.

Nitrate-nitrogen—Among the three inorganic forms of nitrogen, nitrate-nitrogen was the most abundant at all stations, perhaps due to the fact that nitrate is thermodynamically the most stable oxidation level of nitrogen in the presence of oxygen in seawater¹⁸ and could accumulate if left unutilised.

Comparison of concentration range of nitrate in the present study with that reported earlier for the same area (Table 1) indicates deviations which may be due to fluctuations in the input of effluents. The increased values in the offshore region (section H₃) is due to oxidation of available ammonia.

Fig.2C indicates that the subsurface concentration of nitrate-nitrogen exceeded that of the surface layers at stations along sections H₁ and H₃. The concentration of nitrate is relatively more at section H₁ and H₃ compared to H₂. The increased concentration at H₁ appears to be due to the proximity of the effluent discharge point.

High values of nitrate at all stations during April/May could be attributed to oxidation of ammoniacal form of nitrogen to nitrite and subsequently to nitrate. While the peak values in H₁ was recorded during October, the same was recorded during October and January along H₂ and during October, November and January along H₃.

The peak nitrate values invariably recorded in October at all stations were preceded by higher concentration of ammonia. The oxidation of ammonia to nitrite and then to nitrate may take place photochemically or chemically in a thin surface layer or biologically in and near the bottom¹⁹. The high values of nitrate-nitrogen might have been due to bacterial oxidation rather

Table 1—Concentration of nitrate-nitrogen ($\mu\text{g-at N.l}^{-1}$) observed by various authors in Thannirbhavi area

Surface water	Subsurface water	Author
Traces to 28.30	Traces to 23.70	Reddy ¹¹
Traces to 16.63	0.78 to 11.31	Eknath ¹⁵
0.45 to 15.25	0.32 to 13.25	Present study

than photochemical oxidation of the high level to ammonia.

Phytoplankton—The phytoplankton abundance progressively increased in the coastal stations and the highest value at station S₂ of section H₁ (Fig.3A) may be due to the effect of nitrogenous effluents discharged near this station. However nutrient requirement is known to differ with the phytoplankters and that high concentrations of nutrients alone may not be conducive for substantial increase in productivity²⁰. Since the rate of regeneration of nitrogen is slower than that of phosphorus, the readily available ammoniacal form of nitrogen at st S₂ could have been responsible for high plankton production.

Seasonal abundance of phytoplankton was recorded during April/May, September/October and December/January at various stations. After a temporary shut down of effluent discharge during March, full discharge was resumed in April and this could be the reason for high production of phytoplankton during April/May. While the abundance of phytoplankton in September/October is believed to be a seasonal trend^{13,15,21} the abundance of the same during December/January seems to be related to the increased nutrients contributed by the terrigenous sediments brought down through the Netravathi-Gurpur estuary during monsoon and postmonsoon season and carried by the generally prevailing northerly drift²² during November/December.

Zooplankton—The coastal waters harboured more zooplankton than the waters at section H₃. A clear-cut abundance of zooplankton at all stations during April/May, September and December to February was observed (Fig.3B). The preponderance of zooplankton during April/May can be attributed, on the basis of earlier observations in the area⁹, to relatively greater abundance of ctenophores, polychaetes, chaetognaths and lucifers. The higher values at all the stations during September is a regular seasonal occurrence in Thannirbhavi area^{13,15,21}. The postmonsoon abundance exceeded that of the premonsoon abundance. While this could have been controlled by the meroplanktonic community as reported earlier⁹, the abundance in December-February may be related to the abundance of copepods⁹. There is no clear-cut relationship discernible between phytoplankton and zooplankton. This may be due to the changing food habits of plankton in the coastal waters²⁰.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank Prof. H P C Shetty for the

facilities and encouragement. The help rendered by Mr A Praveen during the collections is gratefully acknowledged. Fellowship provided by the ICAR, New Delhi to one of the authors (KS) is acknowledged.

References

- 1 Reddy C V G & Sankaranarayanan V N, *Bull Nat Inst Sci India*, 38 (1968) 206.
- 2 Singbal S Y S, Fondekar S P & Reddy C V G, *Mahasagar-Bull Natn Inst Oceanogr*, 9 (1976) 35.
- 3 Sengupta R, D'Souza S N & Joseph T, *Indian J Mar Sci*, 6 (1977) 107.
- 4 Suresh K & Reddy M P M, *Mahasagar-Bull Natn Inst Oceanogr*, 11 (1978) 145.
- 5 Benakappa S, Reddy M P M & Hariharan V, *Shipp Mar Inds J*, 5 (1979) 155.
- 6 Krishnamurthy N M, *Studies on the distribution of nutrients in the waters off Mangalore*, M.F.Sc. thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, 1985.
- 7 Devassy V P, Achuthankuttay C T, Harkantra S N & Sreekumaran Nair S R, *Indian J Mar Sci*, 16 (1987) 146.
- 8 Strickland J D H & Parsons T R, *A practical handbook of seawater analysis*, (Bull Fish Res Bd Can, Ottawa) 1972, 167.
- 9 Menon N R, Gupta T R C, Hariharan V, Katti R J & Shetty H P C, in *Proc Symp Warmwater Zoopl* (Spl Publ, UNESCO/NIO) 1977, 274.
- 10 Tranter D J & Smith P E, in *Zooplankton sampling*, edited by D J Tranter (UNESCO, Paris) 1972, 27.
- 11 Subramanyan R, *Proc Indian Acad Sci*, 50B (1959) 113.
- 12 Krey K, in *The biology of the Indian Ocean*, edited by B Zeitzschel (Chapman & Hall Limited, London) 1973, pp 115.
- 13 Reddy N A N, *Studies on the effect of marine pollution with reference to a few marine organisms*, M.F.Sc. thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, 1977.
- 14 Rajendran A, Joseph T & Reddy C V G, *Mahasagar-Bull Natn Inst Oceanogr*, 13 (1980) 303.
- 15 Eknath A E, *Studies on the bioassay of a few marine organisms employing various industrial pollutants*, M.F.Sc. thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, 1978.
- 16 Rajendran A & Venugopalan V K, in *Recent researches in estuarine biology*, edited by R Natarajan (Hindustan Publ Corp Ltd, New Delhi) 1975, 247.
- 17 Rajendran A & Venugopalan V K, *Indian J Mar Sci*, 6 (1977) 6.
- 18 Rajendran A & Venugopalan V K, *Indian J Mar Sci*, 6 (1977) 10.
- 19 Cooper L H N, *J Mar Biol Ass, UK*, 22 (1937) 177.
- 20 Qasim S Z, in *The biology of Indian Ocean*, edited by B Zeitzschel (Chapman & Hall Limited, London) 1973, pp 143.
- 21 Murthy C K, *Combined toxicity of silver and copper on a few marine invertebrates and ecological monitoring of the inshore waters of the Arabian sea off Mangalore*, M.F.Sc. thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, 1982.
- 22 Reddy M P M, Hariharan V & Kurian N P, *Indian J Mar Sci*, 8 (1979) 16.
- 23 Margalef K (ed), *Perspectives in ecological theory* (University of Chicago Press, Chicago), 1968.