Kinetic study on lipase-catalyzed esterification in organic solvents
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A twin inhibition is observed for the esterification reaction between ethanol and isovaleric acid using immobilized lipase from Rhizomucor miehei in hexane and in mixed solvent system. The observed bi-substrate inhibition pattern follows a Ping-Pong Bi-Bi mechanism with dead-end inhibition of enzyme by both the substrates. An increase in $K_m$ value for alcohol in mixed solvent (0.645 M) than in hexane (0.256 M), indicates that the enhanced solvation of ethanol in mixed solvent results in lower degree of inhibition.
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A number of theoretical models have been proposed to explain the kinetic studies of esterification reactions in organic solvents. Most of these studies deal with lipase catalyzing reactions of long chain fatty acids. It has been shown that, the lipase from Rhizomucor miehei and lipase B from Candida antarctica follows a Ping-Pong Bi Bi kinetics with competitive inhibition by the acyl acceptor or donor. The choice of solvent has an important bearing while esterifying polar substrate due to variation in solubility. Ethyl alcohol being a polar substrate, strips off the essential layer around the enzyme surface, thus leading to lower esterification yields. In such cases, a mixed solvent system can be used to increase the solubility of the polar substrate, as the solubility of substrate is known to change with a change in the polarity of the reaction medium. Most of the esterification and transesterification models reported to date are based on the application of simple Michaelis-Menten kinetics, wherein only short chain alcohol and long chain acid substrates are considered. While the alcohol or acid inhibition is thoroughly studied, the inhibition by both the substrates are rarely studied. Ethyl isovalerate (3-methylbutanoic acid ethyl ester) is a flavour compound and possess apple/fruity flavour and is used in food and pharmaceutical industry. There are not many reports on lipase-catalyzed kinetics of ethyl isovalerate in organic solvents compared to other low molecular weight esters like acetates, propionates and butyrates.

The present investigation focused on the influence of the reaction media on kinetics of lipase-catalyzed synthesis of ethyl isovalerate using lipase from Rhizomucor miehei in n-hexane and in mixed solvent system [$n$-hexane-diethyl ether, 85:15 (%v/v)].

The schematic representation of reaction is as shown in Chart I.

The mixed solvent system was used mainly to increase the solubility of ethanol. Of the various co-solvents tested, higher reaction rate was found when diethyl ether was used with $n$-hexane in the ratio 15:85 (%v/v). Thus, this ratio was chosen for esterification reaction.

Results and Discussion

Effect of enzyme concentration

The esterification of ethanol and isovaleric acid (0.2 M) as a function of enzyme concentration is shown in Figure 1. The results indicate a linear increase in reaction rates with an increase in enzyme concentration in n-hexane and in mixed solvent system ($n$-hexane-diethyl ether, 85:15 (%v/v)). The reaction rate was 0.150 and 0.158 μ mol/min/mg enzyme at 2 g/L of enzyme, which increased to 0.543 and 0.578 μ mol/min/mg enzyme at 15 g/L enzyme in...
The rate of reaction was higher in mixed solvent system than in \( n \)-hexane. The percent esterification linearly increased up to 10 g/L enzyme concentration and remained constant up to 15 g/L enzyme concentration in both systems. Thus, an enzyme concentration of 10 g/L was chosen for the determination of kinetic parameters.

**Determination of rate constants**

The determination of the kinetic parameters was performed by initial rate analysis. **Figure 2a** shows the variation of the reaction rates as a function of ethanol concentration (from 0.02 to 0.5 \( M \)) for various concentrations of isovaleric acid (0.05, 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3 \( M \)) using 10 g/L enzyme concentration in \( n \)-hexane and mixed solvent. The results indicate that the reaction rates increased with an increase in acid concentration. At low acid concentration (0.05 and 0.1 \( M \)), the reaction rate increased up to 0.1 \( M \) ethanol concentration and a decrease in reaction rate with a further increase in ethanol concentration up to 0.5 \( M \) was observed in \( n \)-hexane. However, the reaction rate continued to increase up to 0.2 \( M \) ethanol concentration at an acid concentration of 0.2 and 0.3 \( M \), which then gradually decreased with a further increase in ethanol in \( n \)-hexane. When the reactions were carried out in mixed solvent system, the reaction rate increased with an increase in ethanol concentration up to 0.2 \( M \). A decrease in reaction rate was observed with a further increase in ethanol concentration (0.5 \( M \)) at all acid concentrations (0.05, 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3 \( M \)) tested. These results indicate that the lipase activity is affected at higher ethanol concentration, which could be due to polar nature of alcohol. The shift in higher reaction rate profile observed from 0.1 \( M \) in \( n \)-hexane to 0.2 \( M \) in mixed solvent system at relatively higher substrate concentrations could be due to enhanced solvation of ethanol (increased solubility), which in turn could be due to a slight increase in media polarity. The reaction rate was highest at 0.2 \( M \) ethanol and isovaleric acid concentration.

**Figure 2b** shows the dependence of reaction rate as a function of isovaleric acid concentration at different ethanol concentrations (0.05, 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3 \( M \)) in \( n \)-hexane and in mixed solvent system. In \( n \)-hexane, the rate of a reaction increased up to 0.1 \( M \) acid concentration and...
decreased with a further increase in isovaleric acid concentration up to 0.5 M. The rate of reaction was higher at low ethanol concentration (0.598 and 0.588 μmol/min/mg enzyme for 0.05 and 0.1 M, respectively). However, at higher concentrations of ethanol, the reaction rate was much lower (0.395 and 0.327 μmol/min/mg enzyme, for 0.2 and 0.3 M ethanol, respectively). When the reactions were carried out in mixed solvent system, though, the trend observed was similar, the higher reaction rates were observed at 0.2 and 0.3 M ethanol concentration (0.571 and 0.620 μmol/min/mg enzyme, respectively).
respectively). This increase in reaction rate could probably be due to the enhanced solubility of ethanol in mixed solvent system. However, a decrease in reaction rate at higher acid concentration (>0.3 M) in both the systems indicates a profound acid inhibition. The inhibitory effect of the acid could be the result of modification of enzyme at the interface, which could in turn be due to presence of higher acid concentration (increased hydrophobicity) at the vicinity of lipase and displacement of ethanol from the active site. The decreases in reaction rate at higher concentrations (upto 0.5 M) of ethanol and isovaleric acid (Figures 2a,b) indicate the twin inhibition of *Rhizomucor miehei* lipase by ethanol and isovaleric acid.

Figures 3a, b show the double reciprocal plot of reaction rate versus ethanol and isovaleric acid concentrations. At low substrate concentrations (0.05 and 0.1 M) the appearance of parallel lines can be seen with an increase in slope. A decrease in intercept with an increase in substrate concentration (0.2 and 0.3 M) is also evident. These results suggest a typical Ping-Pong Bi-Bi mechanism with dead-end inhibition by alcohol and acid. The inhibition pattern was similar in both the reaction systems (n-hexane and in mixed solvent system). The reaction rate equation for this mechanism with inhibition by both the substrates.

**Figure 3** - (a). Double reciprocal plot of reaction rate versus ethanol concentration, (b). Reaction rate versus isovaleric acid concentration. Legends, as given in Figure 2.
is given as:\(^13\):

\[
V = \frac{V_{\text{max}}[A][B]}{[A][B] + K_A[B] \left( 1 + \frac{[B]}{K_B} \right) + K_A[A] \left( 1 + \frac{[A]}{K_A} \right)}
\]

where \(V\) is initial reaction rate; \(V_{\text{max}}\) is the maximum reaction rate; \(A\) is acid concentration and \(B\), alcohol concentration; \(K_A, K_B\) are binding constants of acid and alcohol; \(K_i, K_{iB}\) are inhibitory constants of acid and alcohol.

In this study, esterification between short chain acid and alcohol has been chosen, and values of rate constants were incorporated into a Ping-Pong Bi-Bi mechanism with inhibition by both the substrates. The observed inhibitory patterns in the present study appear to be different from the reported esterification kinetics, which indicate inhibition by short chain alcohols and long chain acids but not by short chain acids\(^5,14,15\). The inhibition of esterification reaction by short chain alcohols is well documented\(^4,7,15-17\). The alcohol inhibition observed in present study is in concurrence with these observations. The inhibition by acid substrate can be explained by the hypothesis that the acyl enzyme intermediate, forms a dead-end complex, thus the transfer of acyl moiety to alcohol is inhibited\(^13\) as shown in Figure 4. In this reaction sequence, enzyme (E) combines with acyl donor (A) to form enzyme acyl complex (E.A). Soon after the formation of E.A complex, the first product, water (P) will be released. Then the second substrate alcohol (B) is attached to form acyl enzyme alcohol complex (E.Ac.B). Later E.Ac.B complex will be dissociated into free enzyme (E) and ester product (Q). The irreversible binding of either acid (E.B) or alcohol (E.Ac.A) to enzyme leads to dead-end inhibition (which are inactive).

The estimated kinetic values are given in Table I. While comparing the kinetic values of esterification in \(n\)-hexane and mixed solvent systems, there is a large difference in values of \(K_m\) and \(K_i\) for both the substrates, where \(K_m\) value of isovaleric acid is almost similar in both the systems (mixed solvent than in \(n\)-hexane) and \(K_m\) of alcohol is 2.5 folds higher in mixed solvent system than in \(n\)-hexane. The increase in \(K_m\) for alcohol might be due to better solvation of ethanol in mixed solvent system (increase in polarity of the media), thus leading to a lower degree of inhibition by ethanol.

Similarly, the \(K_i\) value of alcohol in mixed solvent system is one-fold higher than that in hexane, which again indicates a lesser degree of inhibition by ethanol. These findings are in good agreement with the results reported by Otamiri et al\(^9\). These results indicate that the mixed solvent system could be a better choice for the esterification of polar substrates like ethanol for higher esterification rates. However, the increase in \(K_m\) value of alcohol in mixed solvent system (0.645 M) than in \(n\)-hexane (0.256 M) could not be explained totally by the differences in solvation of the substrates. It could also be due to interaction of mixed solvent with the active site of lipase more strongly than that of \(n\)-hexane, thereby increase in the \(K_m\) value of the alcohol as a competitive inhibitor\(^6\) and such competitive inhibition by solvent has been reported\(^18\).

The present investigation shows that the esterification kinetics in both system follows a Ping-Pong Bi Bi mechanism with competitive inhibition by ethanol and isovaleric acid. The maximum rate of a reaction was almost three-folds higher in mixed solvent system than in \(n\)-hexane. The increase in polarity of the media resulted in increased reaction rates and reduced the ethanol inhibition.

**Materials**

Immobilized lipase (triacylglycerol hydrolase, EC 3.1.1.3) from *Rhizomucor miehei* supported on macro porous anionic resin beads was from Bohringer
Mannheim, Germany. The hydrolytic activity of lipase was 15000 U/g using tributylin as the substrate at pH 7 and 37 °C (ref. 19).

Water content of lipase

Catalytic activity depends on the water content present at the enzyme surface. The water content of *Rhizomucor miehei* lipase has been estimated as described elsewhere and it is 8.5%, which is sufficient for catalytic activity and further addition of water was not attempted.

Substrate and Chemicals

Isovaleric acid and tributyrin were obtained from Aldrich Chemicals Ltd (Milwaukee, USA). Ethanol, *n*-hexane and diethyl ether and molecular sieves (3Å) were purchased from SD Fine Chemicals Ltd (Mumbai, India). The solvents were distilled and solvents and substrates were dried over molecular sieves prior to use.

Experimental Section

Esterification reactions were carried out in a stoppered conical flask (100 mL) with 10 mL working volume of *n*-hexane or mixed solvent (*n*-hexane/diethyl ether at a ratio of 85:15, %, v/v). Substrates were dissolved in solvent and incubated with requisite quantities of enzyme on a rotary shaker at 150 rpm (Remi Instruments, Mumbai, India, Model No. CIS-24) at 40 °C for 24 hr. Initial rate was measured from linear portion of the curve (triplicate). Samples were withdrawn at regular intervals of time.

Gas chromatographic analysis (GC)

The aliquots of reaction mixture were analyzed using GC-14 B (Shimadzu Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with FID detector and Carbowax 20-M column (3 m length, 3.175 mm i.d.). The oven temperature was kept at 100°C (isothermal), the injection port and detector temperatures were maintained at 200°C and 250°C, respectively. Nitrogen was used as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 30 ml/min. The sample volume of 50 μL was dissolved in 2 mL of *n*-hexane containing 10 μg of internal standard (*n*-octanol), 1 μL of this sample was injected to column. Peak areas were computed using chromatopac C-R6A integrator.
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