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A series of complexes of bis(tertiaryphosphine/arsine oxides) (L-L) with iron(II) chloride and bromide of general composition, [Fe(L-L)₂Cl] [FeCl₄]₂ and [Fe(L-L)₂Br₂] [FeBr₄] have been synthesized. These have been characterized with the help of elemental analysis, spectral data [IR, far IR, UV-visible (solid), ESR], conductivity and TGA data, magnetic moments and powder X-ray diffraction patterns. The complexes are high-spin exhibiting normal magnetic behaviour.

In our previous paper¹, we described the preparation and characterization of the complexes of 1,1-methylethylene(mdpo)-, 1,2-ethylene(edpo)-, 1,4-tetraethylene(tmdpo)- and 1,6-hexamethylene(hmdpo)-bis(diphenylphosphine oxides) with iron(III) chloride and nitrate. As an extension to the complexes of mdpo, edpo, tmdpo and hmdpo with iron(III) chloride and those of edao and tmdao (arsine analogs of edpo and tmdpo) with iron(III) chloride and bromide have now been prepared and characterized.

While the preparation of bis(tertiaryphosphine oxide) derivatives has been reported earlier, the arsine analogs, edao (m.p. 177-78°) and tmdao (m.p. 254°) were prepared by the method reported earlier². The methods of preparation of complexes and the description of various techniques employed were the same as reported earlier¹.

The iron(III) chloride complexes of edao and tmdao are yellow in colour, have 3:2 metal to ligand ratio and have been formulated as [Fe(L-L)Cl][FeCl₄]₂ on the basis of conductance measurements in nitrobenzene (Table 1). The iron(III) bromide complexes have 1:1 (metal-ligand) stoichiometry and are either red or reddish brown in colour. Their molar conductances in the range 16-25 ohm⁻¹ cm² mol⁻¹ support the formulation, [Fe(L-L)Br₂][FeBr₄] (Table 1).³ The complexes decompose around the melting points of the ligands.

In the IR spectra of the complexes, the v(PO) and v(AsO) modes of the free ligands undergo shift to lower wavenumbers on coordination, Δν being 32-65 cm⁻¹ and 30-35 cm⁻¹ respectively. However, ν(As-C)aromatic remains unaffected probably due to the presence of the bulky polarizable arsenic atoms which may satisfy the acidic requirements of the metal via the oxygen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>m.p. (°C)</th>
<th>Found (Calc.) %</th>
<th>Aₘ (ohm⁻¹ cm² mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>μₑffective (B.M.)</th>
<th>gₑffective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Fe(edao)₂Cl][FeCl₄]₂</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>41.75 (40.97)</td>
<td>2.62 (3.15)</td>
<td>10.93 (11.02)</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe(tmdao)₂Cl][FeCl₄]₂</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>43.16 (42.52)</td>
<td>4.45 (3.54)</td>
<td>10.01 (10.60)</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe(tmdpo)₂Br₂][FeBr₄]₂H₂O⁺</td>
<td>298(d)</td>
<td>40.70 (41.90)</td>
<td>3.44 (3.44)</td>
<td>8.12 (7.67)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe(edpo)₂Br₂][FeBr₄]</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>42.25 (42.94)</td>
<td>3.43 (3.30)</td>
<td>7.85 (7.71)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe(tmdpo)₂Br₂][FeBr₄]</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>44.01 (44.50)</td>
<td>3.46 (3.60)</td>
<td>7.96 (7.42)</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe(hmdpo)₂Br₂][FeBr₄]</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>46.38 (46.03)</td>
<td>4.18 (4.09)</td>
<td>7.60 (7.16)</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe(edao)₂Br₂][FeBr₄]₂H₂O⁺</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>37.81 (37.80)</td>
<td>3.72 (3.12)</td>
<td>7.14 (6.73)</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Fe(tmdao)₂Br₂][FeBr₄]</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>39.27 (39.92)</td>
<td>3.84 (3.82)</td>
<td>7.03 (6.65)</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Water is present as lattice water.
(b) Five-line spectrum with g centered at 2.14.
NOTES

donor atom. The water present in two complexes is simply lattice water as shown by IR and TG data.

In the far IR spectra of the complexes of edao and tmdao with iron(III) chloride, the $v_3$ modes characteristic of $[\text{FeCl}_4]^{-}$ appear around 370 cm$^{-1}$ (see ref. 3,4). The cations, $[\text{Fe(L-L)}_2\text{Cl}]^{2+}$ show $v(\text{Fe}-\text{Cl})$ modes at 240-245 cm$^{-1}$ indicating that chlorine is acting as a bridge and the coordination number is six instead of five. Similarly, the $v_3$ modes of $[\text{FeBr}_4]^{-}$ appear at 280-285 cm$^{-1}$ and $v_{as}(\text{Fe-Br})$ due to $[\text{Fe(L-L)}_2\text{Br}]^{2+}$ occur in the range 210-230 cm$^{-1}$ indicating trans disposition of the bromine atoms in agreement with literature 3-5. No tentative assignment of $v(\text{Fe-O})$ modes was possible 4,6.

The powder X-ray diffraction patterns of the complexes of iron(III) bromide indicate them to be crystalline but not isomorphous. However, the edao and tmdao complexes of iron(III) chloride are isomorphic. All the complexes are paramagnetic and $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ values lie in the range 5.80-6.14 B.M. characteristic of high-spin $d^5$-configuration 3,4. The reflectance spectra of the complexes show spin-forbidden transitions $6^4A_1 \rightarrow 4T_1(G), 4T_2(G); 4^4A_1(G), 4^2E(G)$; and $4^4T_2(D)$ in the range 11600-18500 cm$^{-1}$ as weak to medium intensity bands, characteristic of $[\text{FeX}_4]$ moiety. The ligand to metal charge transfer bands occur in the region 18900-26300 cm$^{-1}$ as strong bands 1,3,5,7-10.

The ESR spectrum of the complex of edao with iron(III) chloride displays a strong signal at 3295 G with $g_{\text{eff}} = 2.02$ supporting the presence of tetrahedral ion $[\text{FeCl}_4]^{-}$ (ref. 4). The other signal of medium intensity occurs at 1625 G with $g_{\text{eff}} = 4.18$ indicating rhombic symmetry for the cation, $[\text{Fe(L-L)}_2\text{Cl}]^{2+}$. The complex of edao with iron(III) chloride, however, shows a strong broad signal at 3290G with $g_{\text{eff}} = 2$. The other signal with $g = 4.27$, often a weak signal, has not been detected in the spectrum 11. However, the isomorphism with the complex of tmdao as shown by X-ray; supports a structure similar to that of $[\text{Fe(tmdao)}_2\text{Cl}][\text{FeCl}_4]_2$.

The complex of tmdpo with iron(III) bromide shows a strong signal at a $g$-value of ~2.00, corresponding to the anion, $[\text{FeBr}_4]^{-}$ and a weak signal with $g$-value of 6.64 corresponding to trans-octahedral cation, $[\text{Fe(tmdpo)}_2\text{Br}]^{+}$ (see ref. 1). Similarly, the ESR spectrum of the complex of hmdpo exhibits signals with $g = 2.04$ and 5.66. It may be noted that the complex $[\text{Fe(hmdpohBr)}_2][\text{FeCl}_4]$ also gave similar $g$-values 1. The ESR spectra of the complexes of mdpo and edpo with iron(III) bromide exhibit only one strong broad signal having $g_{\text{eff}}$ value of 2.05. This implies that in the formulation, $[\text{Fe(L-L)}_2\text{Br}]_2[\text{FeBr}_4]$, there must be exchange interaction between the cation and the anion as observed in similar complexes reported in literature 12. The ESR spectrum of the complex of edao displays a five-line pattern with $g$-value centered at 2.14 indicating symmetric environment around the iron atom with less zero-field splitting.
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