A new fully-differential (F-D) filtering structure of the second-order current-mode universal filter is described in this paper. Present filter is also proposed in a single-ended (S-E) form in order to compare behavior of single-ended and fully-differential structures. Signal-flow graphs method is used to design both presented filters. Multi-output transconductance amplifiers (MOTAs), digitally adjustable current amplifier (DACA), multi-output current follower (MO-CF) and fully differential current follower (FD-CF) are used in proposed filters. Filters possess of ability to adjust their pole frequency and quality factor independently of each other. The actual function of proposed filters has been verified by PSpice simulations and experimental measurements.

Keywords: Current amplifiers, Current-mode, DACA, Frequency filter, Fully-differential, MOTA, Single-ended, Operational transconductance amplifier

Analogue frequency filters have a wide range of usage in electrical circuitry. We can name branches of industry such as measurement technology, radio-technology, telecommunication, electro-acoustics etc. Lately, we can experience tendency of reducing of the size of integrated circuits in order to decrease energy consumptions leading to decreasing of supply voltage and level of processed signals. This subsequently results in reduction of signal-to-noise ratio and limiting of the dynamic range of the circuit. Therefore, the designers focus also on active elements operating in a current-mode due to advantages which can be achieved in particular cases. The advantages include better signal-to-noise ratio, wider frequency bandwidth, greater dynamic range and lower power consumption.

Filters employing different types of current conveyors (CC) can be found for instance in refs. Furthermore, it is possible to come across filters using differential voltage current conveyors (DVCC)\(^{6,7}\), fully-differential current conveyors (FDCC)\(^{9,10}\), or differential difference current conveyors (DDCC)\(^{11}\). We can mention research of current-mode frequency filters using a variety of amplifiers such as operational transconductance amplifiers (OTA)\(^{12,14}\), current differencing transconductance amplifiers (CDTA)\(^{15}\), current follower transconductance amplifiers (CFTA)\(^{16,18}\) and current differential buffered amplifiers (CDBA)\(^{19,20}\). Filters employing current followers (CF) can be found for instance in refs.\(^{21-24}\)

Designing of frequency filters can be approached by a multitude of design methods. One of the most common way how to design frequency filters is using autonomous circuit design method\(^{25,26}\). Autonomous circuit can be expressed as a circuit of passive and active elements without any excitation source and with no input or output terminals. Such a circuit is purely described by its determinant of admittance matrix which represents the characteristic equation of the analyzed circuit\(^{25}\). Subsequently, such circuit can be used as a base element to design various types of frequency filters. The next well-known method used for frequency filter design is synthetic immittance system method\(^{27,28}\). Higher-order immittance synthetic elements which consist of serial or parallel combinations of D or E type two-port network can be suitably connected to the circuitry of frequency-dependent voltage or current dividers in order to create filters of the demanded order\(^{27}\). We can also mention signal-flow graphs (SFGs) method\(^{13,21}\). For the analysis and synthesis of linear electrical networks, Mason-Coates’ (M-C) graphs are used. These graphs are formed in the form of nodes and directed branches when variables are represented by nodes and branches define mutual relations between nodes of the analyzed structure. Foremost, a type of
desired transfer function is determined followed by creation of the M-C graph of the filter according chosen determinant and rules of M-C graphs. Thus, this method represents easy approach to frequency filter design.

Fully-differential (F-D) structures have some advantages when compared to single-ended (S-E) circuits such as lower harmonic distortion, possibility of greater dynamic range of the processed signal, greater attenuation of common-mode signals and better power supply rejection ratio. F-D structures have also a few drawbacks that they have more complex design than single-ended structures which leads to larger area taken on the chip and higher power consumption.

Comparison of the previously reported research dealing with current-mode filters is given in Table 1. As it can be seen from the table, the proposed filtering structures suffer from one or more of the following drawbacks:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of active elements</th>
<th>Number of passive elements</th>
<th>Independent tuning of ( f_0 )</th>
<th>Independent tuning of ( Q )</th>
<th>Experimental measurement</th>
<th>Type of used active element(s)</th>
<th>All responses taken from high-impedance outputs</th>
<th>All capacitors grounded</th>
<th>Transfer functions</th>
<th>FD-structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CCCII</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>ICCII</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Partly</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>MO-CCII</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Partly</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>MO-CCCII</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>DVCC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>DVCC</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Partly</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>FDCCII</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>FDCCII</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>H, BP, L</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Partly</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>FDCCII</td>
<td>No/Yes</td>
<td>No/Yes</td>
<td>H, BP, L</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Partly</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>DDCC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Partly</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>OTA-C</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Partly</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>CF, OTA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>OTA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Partly</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CDTA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>H, BP, BS, L</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Partly</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CFTA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CFTA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Partly</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CCCFTA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CCCDBA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>DO-CCCDBA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>5/6</td>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CF, DACA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CF, DACA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>All (BP)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CF, DACA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CF, DACA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CFTA, PCA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Cond.)
Table 2 – Comparison of the previously reported research (Contd.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of active elements</th>
<th>Number of passive elements</th>
<th>Independent tuning of f₀</th>
<th>Independent tuning of Q</th>
<th>Experimental measurement</th>
<th>Type of used active element(s)</th>
<th>All responses taken from high-impedance outputs</th>
<th>All capacitors grounded</th>
<th>Transfer functions</th>
<th>FD structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>OTA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Partly</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CCII</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>LP</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proposal</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>CF, OTA, DACA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(i) The quality factor and pole frequency cannot be adjusted independently of each other/or their adjustability is just partly independent \(^{4,5,8,10-13,15,16,18,27}\).

(ii) The structure requires external resistors which leads to greater power consumption and area taken on the chip \(^{3,4,6-11,14-16,21-26,27}\).

(iii) Some of currents responses are not taken from the high-impedance outputs \(^{7,10,15,16,18,26,27}\).

(iv) The structures contain at least one floating capacitor which is not suitable for the implementation and integrability of the circuit \(^{10,27}\).

(v) The filter requires copies of the input currents to obtain particular transfer functions \(^{3,5,6,8-12}\).

(vi) Only structures in refs \(^{21-24}\) are also proposed in the fully-differential form when we can profit from advantages of the F-D structures stated above.

(vii) Additionally, only structures in refs \(^{13,14}\) are supported by experimental measurements of the proposed filters.

**Definition of used Active Elements**

The designed filters include three types of active elements. The first one is a balanced operational transconductance amplifier (BOTA) \(^{13}\).

![Diagram](image_url)

Fig. 1 – (a) Schematic symbol of the BOTA, (b) simplified M-C graph of the BOTA, (c) schematic symbol of the multi-output transconductance amplifier, (d) implementation of the MOTA using the UCC-N1B device

Its schematic symbol and simplified M-C graph can be seen in Fig. 1. (a) and (b), respectively. It consists of two balanced inputs and outputs. For our purposes, more output terminals are required especially in case of the F-D structure. Therefore, two more output terminals were added, turning BOTA into a multiple-output transconductance amplifier (MOTA) \(^{13}\). Its schematic symbol is illustrated in
Fig. 1 (c). Implementation of the MOTA is shown in Fig. 1 (d) when the universal current conveyor (UCC) is used. Resistor $R$ is used to set transconductance of this element according relation $g_m = 1/R$.

The BOTA element is described by following relation:

$$I_{out+} = I_{out-} = g_m(V_{in+} - V_{in-})$$  \(\ldots (1)\)

where $g_m$ is the transconductance of this element.

The next element is a digitally adjustable current amplifier (DACA) presented in ref. Its schematic symbol, simplified M-C graph and model used for simulations are shown in Fig. 2 (a), (b) and (c). Components $C_1$, $R_1$, $L_1$, $R_2$ are imitation of the input impedance of the positive input of the DACA element. Components $C_3$, $R_4$, $L_2$, $R_5$ are used to imitate the input impedance of the negative input of the DACA element. Parts $R_6$, $C_5$ and $R_6$, $C_6$ imitate the output impedances of the positive and negative output of this element.

The DACA consists of two differential current inputs and outputs and its current gain can be set via 3-bit word in range from 1 to 8 with step of 1. Behavior of this element is given by:

$$I_{out+} = A(I_{in+} - I_{in-}).$$  \(\ldots (2)\)

$$I_{out-} = -A(I_{in+} - I_{in-}).$$  \(\ldots (3)\)

$$I_{dif} = 2A(I_{out+} - I_{out-}).$$  \(\ldots (4)\)

where $A$ is a current gain of DACA and $I_{dif}$ is the differential output current.

The DACA circuit has been developed and implemented in cooperation of Brno University of Technology and ON Semiconductor Brno Design Center in the CMOS 0.35 μm technology. For practical implementation, an alternative circuit solution of the DACA which is formed by the universal voltage conveyor (UVC) and UCC active elements has been used. This circuit is in a form of individual PCBs. Its circuit scheme is given in Fig. 2 (d). The gain of this DACA element solution can be controlled by DC bias voltage set at $V_{gain}$ terminal of EL2082 part. This solution allows us to set any value of its gain in range of 0 to 5 continuously (analogue control). Other advantage is that used alternative solution of the DACA consists of four outputs instead of two. These additional outputs can be used to acquire particular transfer functions right from the outputs of this active element.

A multi-output current follower (MO-CF) presented in ref. had to be added in the structure presented later in order to obtain high-pass transfer function. The schematic symbol, simplified M-C graph and simulation model can be seen in Fig. 3 (a), (b) and (d), respectively. Possible implementation of this element using the UCC is shown in Fig. 3 (c). Within the simulation model, components $C_1$, $R_1$, $L_1$, $R_2$ are used for imitation of the input impedance of the $x$ terminal of the UCC. Components $R_3$, $C_2$, $R_4$, $C_3$, $R_5$, $C_4$ are used to imitate input impedances of inputs $y_1$, $y_2$, $y_3$, respectively. Parts $R_6$, $C_5$, $R_6$, $C_6$, $R_7$, $C_7$ imitate particular output impedances of the UCC.

The MO-CF has one input and four output terminals. Relations between input and output terminals are as follow:

$$I_{out1} = I_{out3} = I_{in},$$  \(\ldots (5)\)

$$I_{out2} = I_{out4} = -I_{in}.$$  \(\ldots (6)\)

In case of the F-D structure, the DACA and MOTA can be used directly because of their F-D behavior. In
case of MOCF, the digitally adjustable current amplifier has been used to substitute a F-D current follower.

Filter Description

The aim of proposal was to design second-order F-D universal frequency filter working in a current-mode. The next condition was that the filters possess of possibility to adjust their pole frequencies and quality factors independently of each other. The proposed filter is firstly designed in its S-E form in order to compare behavior of the S-E and F-D structure. Single-ended version of the proposed filter includes one MO-CF, two elements of operational transconductance amplifier (BOTA, MOTA) and one DACA element. The circuit structure and simplified M-C graph of this circuit are presented in Fig. 4. The F-D structure of this filter which is shown in Fig. 5 consists of two MOTAs, one DACA and F-D current follower which is constructed by other DACA.

The denominator of transfer functions which is common for both filters is equal to:

$$D = s^2 C_1 C_2 + s C_2 g_m A + g_{m1} g_{m2}$$  \[7\]
The pole frequency can be adjusted independently of the quality factor of the filter by simultaneous change of transconductances $g_{m1}$, $g_{m2}$. Furthermore, the current gain of the DACA allows us to adjust the quality factor of the filter independently of the pole frequency. The pole frequency and quality factor are defined accordingly:

$$f_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{g_{m1}g_{m2}}{C_1C_2}}, \quad \ldots \quad (8)$$

$$Q = \frac{C_1g_{m2}}{A}\sqrt{\frac{g_{m1}}{C_2g_{m1}}}, \quad \ldots \quad (9)$$

where $f_0$ is the pole frequency, $Q$ is the filter quality factor and parameter $A$ represents the current gain of DACA.

Equations (8) and (9) prove that the pole frequency and quality factor can be adjusted independently. In order to obtain almost the same transfer functions for the F-D filter, factor $A$ has to be replaced by $2A$ because of the differential gain of the DACA, which is twice higher than in case of S-E structure as it can be seen from Eq. (4). The same applies for $g_{m1}$, $g_{m2}$ when their values must be twice higher than in case of S-E transconductances.

The transfer functions of presented filters are represented by:

$$I_{LP} = \frac{I_{06}}{I_{IN}} = -\frac{I_{05}}{I_{IN}} = \frac{g_{m1}g_{m2}}{D}, \quad \ldots \quad (10)$$

$$I_{BP} = \frac{I_{04}}{I_{IN}} = -\frac{I_{03}}{I_{IN}} = \frac{sC_1g_{m1}A}{D}, \quad \ldots \quad (11)$$

$$I_{HP} = \frac{I_{02}}{I_{IN}} = -\frac{I_{01}}{I_{IN}} = \frac{s^2C_1C_2}{D}, \quad \ldots \quad (12)$$

$$I_{BS} = \frac{I_{06} + I_{02}}{I_{IN}} = \frac{I_{05} + I_{01}}{I_{IN}},$$

$$= \frac{s^2C_1C_2 + g_{m1}g_{m2}}{D}, \quad \ldots \quad (13)$$

$$I_{AP} = \frac{I_{06} + I_{03} + I_{02}}{I_{IN}} = \frac{I_{05} + I_{04} + I_{01}}{I_{IN}},$$

$$= \frac{s^2C_1C_2 - sC_2g_{m1}A + g_{m1}g_{m2}}{D}, \quad \ldots \quad (14)$$

Equations (10)-(14) prove that filters are universal because we can obtain all standard transfer functions. It is obvious that all transfer functions correspond with particular terms of the denominator of proposed filters therefore, they have unity gain in pass-band area regardless values of $g_m$ and $A$ parameters (no matching is required for unity gain). Moreover, all transfer functions are taken directly from high-impedance outputs of active elements.

The proposed circuits could be created in CMOS technology in the form of chips for instance. The transistor structure of the DACA and CF elements can be found in ref.22 The transistor structure of the OTA element can be taken for example from ref.33 Tunability of the pole frequency and quality factor of filters would be then controlled by external DC currents (or voltages) set at particular bias terminals. For the practical implementation we have implemented proposed filters in the form of PCBs with individual passive and active elements. The advantage of this autonomous circuit blocks approach is that it is easier to find out if the proposed circuit will be practically functioning correctly and consequently if it is suitable for CMOS implementation in the first place. Similarly with the implementation, using different levels of complexity of simulation models of used active elements we can easily determine how each block affects the proposed circuit as a whole. Used active elements are already implemented on the transistor level in the form of chips, which significantly reduces complexity of PCBs.

Simulation and Experimental Results

Simulation and experimental results were carried out to verify appropriate function of proposed filters. Simulations have been performed using PSpice. Models of active elements used for simulation are shown in Figs 2 and 3. Experimental results were performed by measurements of implemented circuits in form of PCBs using a network analyzer Agilent 4395A and V/I, I/V converters. Obtained results were then transferred to a PC using a GPIB bus. Active elements of the proposed filters (OTAs and CFs) were implemented using the UCC-N1B chips connected to the circuits in forms shown in Fig. 1(d) in case of the OTA elements and Fig. 3(c) in case of the CF element. In case of the DACA elements, the alternative circuit solution shown in Fig. 2 (d) has been used. Converters are implemented using integrated circuits OPA86034 and OPA86135. All output responses illustrated in this paper are non-inverting transfer functions.
Specification of values used for simulations and experimental measurements

Following values of specific filter parameters have been chosen for PSpice simulations and experimental measurements: the starting pole frequency $f_0 = 500 \text{ kHz}$, the starting quality factor $Q = 0.707$ (Butterworth approximation), values of conductance $g_{m1}, g_{m2}$ equal to 1 mS, the starting current gain of DACA element has been chosen $A = 1$. Values of current gain of the F-D filter are half of the values of the S-E structure. As it has been declared above instead of DACA element, an alternative element solution has been used, therefore, values chosen to verify ability to tune the quality factor of proposed filters are solely demonstrational and do not necessary correspond with values which can be obtained by using a real element of the DACA amplifier. The reason why this alternative solution of the DACA element instead of the DACA chip is used is that in case of the F-D we need 4 outputs otherwise it would not be possible to obtain the band-pass transfer function. It would be possible to use a current follower in order to copy and multiply the output signal of the DACA, but that would increase the number of active elements on the PCB. Another advantage is that the quality factor of the filters can tune continuously instead of being limited by discrete steps. Therefore, the proposed filters were simulated and implemented with this circuit instead of the chips. Values of capacitors $C_1, C_2$ are calculated according the following relations:

$$C_1 = \frac{g_{m2} Q A}{2 \pi f_0} = 225.05 \text{ pF}, \quad \ldots \ (15)$$

$$C_2 = \frac{g_{m2}}{2 \pi f_0 A Q} = 450.23 \text{ pF} \quad \ldots \ (16)$$

Hence, for simulations and implementations $C_1 = 220 \text{ pF}$ and $C_2 = 440 \text{ pF}$ have been used. In case of the F-D structure, capacitors have been separated for each branch to avoid using floating elements which is not appropriate for implementation. These capacitors must have twice the values of capacitors used for the S-E filter.

Results evaluation

Comparison of simulation and measured output responses of the S-E structure of the proposed filter can be seen in Fig. 6. High-pass transfer function (blue lines) has been taken from output $I_{O2}$ and the slope of attenuation is 38 dB per decade. Band-pass function (red lines) is taken from $I_{O4}$ with the slope of 19 dB per decade. Low-pass function (green lines) is taken from output $I_{O6}$ with 39 dB per decade. Measured functions have slightly lower attenuation than in case of simulated transfer functions. Band-stop transfer function (turquoise lines) has been created by combination of outputs $I_{O2}$ and $I_{O6}$. The highest attenuation of this function is at 35 dB in case of simulations and 25 dB of measured function.

Simulated and measured transfer functions of F-D form of the proposed filter are shown in Fig. 7. High-pass transfer function (blue lines) is taken from $I_{O1}$ with attenuation of 39 dB per decade. In case of band-pass functions (red lines), output $I_{O2}$ is used and the slope is 20 dB per decade. Low-pass function (green lines) is taken from output $I_{O3}$ and attenuation is 40 dB per decade. Measured function

---

Fig. 6 – Comparison of S-E transfer functions high pass, band pass, low pass, band stop simulation (solid lines) and measured functions (dashed lines)
of high-pass function have slightly lower attenuation than the simulated function, approximately the same slope in case of band-pass and slightly higher slope for low-pass function. Band-stop transfer function (turquoise lines) has been taken from outputs $I_{O1}$ and $I_{O3}$. The highest attenuation is at 47 dB in case of simulations and 35 dB of measured function.

Comparison of experimental measurement of S-E and F-D transfer functions is presented in Fig. 8. From the graph can be seen that F-D transfer functions have slightly higher attenuation than in case of S-E functions, which is mainly given by that the values of conductance used in F-D structures must be twice the values used in case of S-E structures to obtain the same pole frequency. High-pass transfer function of the F-D filter is shifted to higher frequencies, which is also reflected on band-stop function. It can be seen that the filters can be suitably used approximately up to frequency of 6 MHz because of bandwidth limitations of used active elements. Results are also affected by limitations of used converters. Nevertheless, these results are satisfactory and confirm design correctness and correct operation of both filters.

Possibilities of tuning the pole frequency of proposed S-E and F-D filters are shown if Figs 9-11. For this presentation, low-pass transfer function has been used as an example. Values of parameters $g_m$ have been set as $g_m = (0.5 \text{ mS}, 1 \text{ mS}, 1.961 \text{ mS})$ in case of the S-E structure and $(1 \text{ mS}, 2 \text{ mS}, 3.92 \text{ mS})$ in case of the F-D structure. Calculated values of the pole frequency for S-E and F-D structures for chosen values of parameters $g_m$ are (255.8 kHz, 511.5 kHz, 1003.0 kHz). Figure 9 demonstrates possibility of tuning the pole frequency of the proposed S-E filter when simulation and experimental results are compared. Values obtained from simulations are (246.6 kHz, 501.2 kHz, 999.8 kHz) and measured...
values are \{228.8 \text{ kHz}, 456.4 \text{ kHz}, 1085.4 \text{ kHz}\}. From the graph can be seen that gain of measured transfer functions slightly changes when changing values of conductance \(g_m\). Results for the F-D filter when simulation and experimental results are compared are shown in Fig. 10. Values obtained from simulations are \{251.2 \text{ kHz}, 505.8 \text{ kHz}, 1018.6 \text{ kHz}\} and measured values are \{259.0 \text{ kHz}, 525.9 \text{ kHz}, 1305.4 \text{ kHz}\}. Comparison of S-E and F-D measured transfer functions is illustrated in Fig. 11. Values of the measured pole frequencies can be compared from Table 2. The pole frequencies of the F-D filter are at lower frequencies closer to calculated values than values obtained from the S-E filter. The slopes of attenuation are greater in case of the F-D filter.

Ability of adjusting the quality factor of the proposed filters are presented in Figs 12-14. In this case, band-pass transfer function has been chosen. Values of the current gain of DACA element have been selected as follows: \(A = (0.25, 0.5, 1)\) in case of the S-E filter and \((0.125, 0.25, 0.5)\) in case of the F-D filter. Values of the quality factors calculated for these values of parameter \(A\) are \{2.83, 1.41, 0.71\}.

Comparison of the proposed S-E filter of simulation and experimental results is illustrated in Fig. 12. Values of obtained quality factors are \{0.73, 1.49, 3.08\} in case of simulation and \{0.67, 1.39, 3.26\} for measured results. Figure 13 shows comparison of the F-D filter when simulation and experimental results are compared. The quality factors obtained from simulations are \{0.71, 1.41, 2.87\} and \{0.91, 2.02, 3.42\}. Comparison of S-E and F-D measured results is presented in Fig. 14. Values of measured pole frequencies can be compared in Table 3. From Table 2, it can be seen that measured

![Fig. 9 – Demonstration of possibility of adjusting the pole frequency of the S-E filter: simulation (solid lines) and experimental measurement (dashed lines)](image)

![Fig. 10 – Demonstration of possibility of adjusting the pole frequency of the F-D filter: simulation (solid lines) and experimental measurement (dashed lines)](image)
values of the quality factor of the F-D structure are significantly higher than expected values. Also the pole frequency in case of the F-D structure is shifting when the quality factor changes.

Differences between simulated and measured results at higher frequencies are mainly given by bandwidth limitations of used real active elements and also by limitations of used converters. The measured results are also affected by input/output impedances (they are not low/high enough) of the active elements in the structure. The input impedance of the DACA element is the most dominant parasitic element at higher frequencies.

The dynamic range of the proposed implemented S-E (red line) and F-D (green line) filter is illustrated in Fig. 15. For this matter, measurement of the DC transfer function of the all-pass filters has been carried out. Measurement has been set from -3 mA to 3 mA with 5 µA step and it has been carried out using current source Agilent B2902A and multimeters Agilent 34410A. From the graph it can be seen that the dynamic range of the F-D filter is significantly greater than the dynamic range of the S-E filter. Furthermore, the dynamic range of the S-E filter has its bottom limit at 0.81 mA when the upper limit is 0.55 mA. In case of the F-D filter it is 1.3 mA for the upper limit and 1.1 mA for the bottom limit.

The PCB implementation of the proposed S-E filter including the UCC chips is shown in Fig. 16. Figure 17 shows the PCB implementation of the proposed F-D filter also including the UCC chips.

**Table 2 – Comparison of measured pole frequencies (kHz)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conductance (S-E/F-D)</th>
<th>0.5/1 mS</th>
<th>1.2 mS</th>
<th>1.961/3.922 mS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calculated</td>
<td>255.8</td>
<td>511.5</td>
<td>1003.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-E filter</td>
<td>228.8</td>
<td>456.4</td>
<td>1085.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-D filter</td>
<td>259.0</td>
<td>525.9</td>
<td>1305.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 11 – Comparison of possibility of adjusting the pole frequency when comparing experimental results: S-E filter (solid lines), F-D filter (dashed lines)**

**Fig. 12 – Demonstration of possibility of adjusting the quality factor of the S-E filter: simulation (solid lines) and experimental measurement (dashed lines)**
Table 3 – Comparison of measured quality factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gain A (S-E/F-D)</th>
<th>0.25</th>
<th>0.125</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>0.25</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>0.25</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>0.125</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>0.125</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>0.125</th>
<th>0.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calculated</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-E filter</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-D filter</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A chip of the DACA element (each chip includes two DACA elements, in this case DACA elements designated as no. 5 and 6) and its alternative circuit solution are illustrated in Fig. 18.
Conclusions

An actual function of proposed filters has been verified by simulation and also by experimental measurements. From relations (10)-(14) can be seen that the S-E and F-D proposed filters are universal because we can obtain all transfer functions. Furthermore, all transfer functions match the particular term of the denominator therefore, they have the unity gain in pass-band area regardless the values of $g_m$ and $A$ parameters. Ability of adjusting the pole frequency and quality factor of proposed filters has been discussed. Comparing the S-E and F-D structure, transfer functions of the F-D structure possess of greater attenuation and the pole frequencies of this
filter are closer to calculated values. The quality factors of the S-E filter are closer to calculated values when quality factors of the F-D filter are significantly higher than expected and the pole frequency is slightly shifting when the quality factor changes.
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