

Balancing of raw washability data using spreadsheet optimization routine

Satyabrata Mohanta*

Department of Chemical Engineering, Indira Gandhi Institute of Technology, Sarang 759 146

Received 22 December 2006; revised 08 May 2007; accepted 19 June 2007

A technique for balancing raw washability data utilizing spreadsheet program is proposed and demonstrated by a working example. The sum of squares of the relative errors between raw and estimated data is minimized. The generated balanced data satisfy mass and ash balance conditions.

Keywords: Coal preparation, Mineral processing, Spreadsheet tools, Washability data balancing

IPC Code: C04B18/28

Introduction

Washability studies are conducted primarily to determine how much coal of what quality can be produced at a given specific gravity with what separation complexity¹. Washability study of coal is made by float-and-sink analysis and testing of coal sample at pre-selected and carefully controlled specific gravities. Specific gravity fractions are dried, weighed, and analyzed for ash content or for other assay depending upon end use of washed coal. Data are mathematically combined on a weighted basis into cumulative float and sink for developing washability curves to characterize coal. These tests are subjected to experimental errors due to improper sampling procedures and unreliable laboratory analyses. So it is desirable to minimize such errors for reliable results in density separation performance prediction and estimation²⁻³.

A spreadsheet-based mass balance program has been developed using optimization tools embedded in modern spreadsheet programs, to reconcile conflicting raw washability data.

Problem Formulation

In float-and-sink analysis, fractions floating and sinking at a particular relative density represent cumulative masses. Corresponding cumulative ash contents are determined by conducting an ash analysis on each float and sink fractions (Table 1). Relative error

squares between the estimated and raw data is given by

$$\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left[\left(\frac{M_{fi}^o}{\hat{M}_{fi}^o} - 1 \right)^2 + \left(\frac{M_{fi}^n}{\hat{M}_{fi}^n} - 1 \right)^2 + \left(\frac{M_{s(i+1)}^o}{\hat{M}_{s(i+1)}^o} - 1 \right)^2 + \left(\frac{M_{s(i+1)}^n}{\hat{M}_{s(i+1)}^n} - 1 \right)^2 \right] + \left[\left(\frac{1-A}{\hat{M}_{IN}^o} - 1 \right)^2 + \left(\frac{A}{\hat{M}_{IN}^n} - 1 \right)^2 + \left(\frac{1-A}{\hat{M}_{st}^o} - 1 \right)^2 + \left(\frac{A}{\hat{M}_{st}^n} - 1 \right)^2 \right] \quad \dots(1)$$

subjected to constraints

$$M_{fi}^o + M_{s(i+1)}^o = 1 - A \quad \dots(2)$$

$$M_{fi}^n + M_{s(i+1)}^n = A \quad \dots(3)$$

Minimization problem presented is a classical constrained optimization problem and can be solved analytically by utilizing the constant multipliers method⁵.

Proposed Technique

Proposed method is to make use of spreadsheets, in which optimization routines like SOLVER for Microsoft excel. For minimization of Φ , SOLVER can be used to minimize a target cell calculated by using Eq. (1) by adjusting cumulative mass and cumulative ash for float and sink values subject to constraints calculated from Eqs (2) and (3). SOLVER allows user to have direct control over many of the criteria used in the adjustment of data (degree of precision, error tolerance, degree of convergence, iteration method, etc). Ability to perform

*E-mail: satyabrata.mohanta@rediffmail.com

Table 1— Cumulative raw and balanced washability data

Relative density fraction	Measured value				Adjusted value			
	Float		Sink		Float		Sink	
	Mass, %	Ash, %	Mass, %	Ash, %	Mass, %	Ash, %	Mass, %	Ash, %
Float-1.3	6.22	1.13	100	20.18	6.2184	1.1303	100	19.4311
1.3 -1.35	15.45	2.78	93.78	20.39	15.4706	2.775	93.7816	20.6445
1.35 -1.4	31.8	3.58	84.55	22.96	31.8965	3.5651	84.5294	22.4795
1.4 -1.45	44.93	6.7	68.2	27.34	45.1519	6.6376	68.1035	26.862
1.45 -1.5	60.04	9.24	55.07	30.6	60.2636	9.1341	54.8481	29.9629
1.5 -1.6	76.59	11.39	39.96	35.56	77.238	10.8112	39.7364	35.0474
1.6 -1.7	82.78	12.46	23.41	50.23	83.455	11.5607	22.762	48.6808
1.7 -1.8	88.62	12.49	17.22	60.8	88.5389	12.6721	16.545	59.1303
1.8 -2.0	94.03	13.82	11.38	71.44	93.8282	15.5231	11.4611	71.6456
2.0 -2.2	95.51	14.76	5.97	78.12	95.4061	16.2819	6.1718	78.8428
2.2 -Sink	100	20.18	4.49	84.48	100	19.4311	4.5939	84.8335

high-level minimization without extensive programming makes this approach very powerful. The limitations imposed by Eqs (2) and (3) must also be entered as constraints within SOLVER dialog box.

Results

Balanced washability data are adjusted values (Table 1) using spreadsheet-based approach, which matches quite well with the least-squares approach⁵.

Conclusions

Establishment of a consistent mass balance in balancing raw washability data is a key step in the analysis of washability characteristics of a coal sample. Unfortunately, this task can be difficult when redundant or conflicting data are obtained experimentally. To help resolve this problem, a spreadsheet-based approach for reconciling mass balance data has been presented. This technique makes use of minimization tools that are now available in most modern spreadsheet programs and is useful for plant engineers to perform day-to-day study of washability characteristics of different coal.

Nomenclature

- ρ_i = Density
- \hat{M}_{fi} = Total float mass
- \hat{A}_{fi} = Float ash mass
- \hat{M}_s = Total sink mass
- = Sink ash mass
- \hat{M}_o^f = Float mass of organic components
- \hat{M}_o^s = Sink mass of organic components

- \hat{M}_{fi}^n = Float mass of non organic components
- \hat{M}_{fs}^n = Sink mass of non organic components
- A = Modified overall ash content of the sample
- $M_{s(i+1)}^o$ = Estimated sink mass of organic components in density range $\rho_{i-1} - \rho_i$
- $M_{s(i+1)}^n$ = Estimated sink mass of non organic components in density range $\rho_{i-1} - \rho_i$
- \hat{M}_{fi}^n = Estimated float mass of non organic components in density range $\rho_{i-1} - \rho_i$
- \hat{M}_{fi}^o = Estimated float mass of organic components in density range $\rho_{i-1} - \rho_i$
- \hat{M}_{fiN}^o = Float mass of organic components in Nth density range
- \hat{M}_{fiN}^n = Float mass of non organic components in Nth density range
- \hat{M}_{st}^o = Sink mass of organic components in 1st density range
- \hat{M}_{st}^n = Sink mass of non organic components in 1st density range

References

- 1 Mitchell D R & Charmbury H B, *Cleaning and Preparation, Chemistry of Coal Utilization*, suppl vol, edited by H H Lowry (John Wiley and Sons, New York) 1963, 312-319.
- 2 Salama A I A, Evaluation of the performance of density separators, *Coal Preparation*, **5** (1987) 121-127.
- 3 Majumder AK, Barnwal J P & Ramakrishna N, A new approach to evaluate the performance of gravity-based coal washing equipment, *Coal Preparation*, **24** (2004) 227-284.
- 4 Salama A I A & Mikhail M W, Balancing of raw washability data utilizing the least-squares approach, *Coal Preparation*, **13** (1993) 85-96.
- 5 Mitra G, *Theory and Applications of Mathematical Programming* (Academic Press, New York) 1976, 214.